



AF/1752

Practitioner's Docket No. 50261-2

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Taylor et al.

Serial No.: 09/330,418

Group No.: 1752

Filed: June 11, 1999

Examiner: Sin J. Lee

For: NOVEL POLYMERS AND PHOTORESIST COMPOSITIONS

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED
DEC 11 2002
TC 1700 MAIL ROOM
12/12

NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM THE PRIMARY EXAMINER TO THE
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES (37 C.F.R. 1.191)

NOTE: An appeal may be based on one rejection in a prior application and one rejection in a continuing application. Notice of Oct. 10, 1997, 62 F.R. 53131, at 53167.

NOTE: There is no requirement for a notice of appeal to: (1) be signed; or (2) identify the appealed claims. Notice of Oct. 10, 1997, 62 F.R. 53131, at 53167.

Applicant hereby appeals to the Board from the decision of the Primary Examiner, mailed May 30, 2002, finally rejecting 19-28.

The item(s) checked below are appropriate:

1. STATUS OF APPLICANT

This application is on behalf of

[X] other than a small entity.

[] a small entity.

A statement:

[] is attached.

[] was already filed.

12/10/2002 AOSMAN1 00000013 09330418

01 FC:1253 920.00 OP

12/10/2002 AOSMAN1 00000013 09330418

02 FC:1401 320.00 OP

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

☒ deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

FACSIMILE

☐ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.

Date: 12/12/02

12/10/2002 AOSMAN1 00000012 09330418

01 FC:1253 920.00 OP

12/10/2002 AOSMAN1 00000012 09330418

02 FC:1401 320.00 OP

Void date: 12/10/2002 AOSMAN1

12/10/2002 AOSMAN1 00000012 09330418

01 FC:1253 920.00 OP

Deanna M. Rivernider
Signature

Deanna M. Rivernider
(type or print name of person certifying)

2. FEE FOR FILING NOTICE OF APPEAL

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.17(b), the fee for filing the Appeal Brief is:

☐ small entity \$150.00
☒ other than a small entity \$320.00

Notice of Appeal fee due \$ 320.00

3. EXTENSION OF TERM

NOTE: The time periods set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.191 are subject to the provision of § 1.136 for patent applications. 37 C.F.R. 1.191(d). (But see 37 C.F.R. 1.645 for extension of time in interference proceedings and 37 C.F.R. 1.550(c) for extension of time in reexamination proceedings).

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R.1.136 apply.

- (a) ☒ Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months checked below:

Extension (months)	Fee for other than small entity	Fee for small entity
<input type="checkbox"/> one month	\$110.00	\$55.00
<input type="checkbox"/> two months	\$390.00	\$190.00
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> three months	\$920.00	\$435.00
<input type="checkbox"/> four months	\$1,360.00	\$680.00

Fee \$ 920.00

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

- (a) ☐ An extension for \$_____ months has already been secured, and the fee paid therefor of \$_____ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.

Extension fee due with this request \$ 920.00
or

- (b) ☐ Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.

4. TOTAL FEE DUE

The total fee due is:

Notice of Appeal fee \$ 320.00

Extension fee (if any) \$ 920.00

TOTAL FEE DUE \$ 1,240.00

5. FEE PAYMENT

☒ Attached is a check in the sum of \$ 1,240.00.

☐ Charge Account No. _____ the sum of \$ _____.

A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.

6. FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in resuming the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, 1065 O.G 31-33.

☒ If any additional extension and/or fee is required, this is a request therefor and to charge Account No. 04-1105.

AND/OR

☒ If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 04-1105.



SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Reg. No. 33,860

Peter F. Corless
(type or print name of practitioner)

Tel. No. (617) 523-3400

EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP
Dike, Bronstein, Roberts & Cushman, IP Group
P.O. Box 9169
P.O. Address

Customer No.

Boston, Massachusetts 02209